

Best Evidence Summaries of Topics in Mental Healthcare

BEST *in* **MH** *clinical question-answering service*

Question

"In adults with obsessive compulsive disorder (OCD) and panic, how effective is systematic desensitisation or graded exposure, compared to any other intervention, in reducing OCD and panic?"

Clarification of question using PICO structure

Patients:	Adults with OCD and panic
Intervention:	Systematic desensitisation or graded exposure
Comparator:	Any other intervention
Outcome:	Panic and OCD symptoms





Clinical and research implications

The results of one methodologically weak systematic review and a second, high quality systematic review, (which included a subset of studies from the first review) consistently indicated that therapist-guided Exposure Response Prevention (ERP) behavioural therapy is likely to be effective in reducing obsessive-compulsive symptoms in adults with obsessive compulsive disorder (OCD). The available evidence suggested that self-applied ERP may not be effective. Similarly, the available evidence suggested that ERP is not effective in reducing symptoms of anxiety.

Larger, high quality randomised controlled trials are needed to fully explore the effects of ERP in people with OCD. In particular, studies should address effectiveness in people with different levels of disease severity.

What does the evidence say?

Number of included studies/reviews (number of participants)

We identified two systematic reviews that included some data relevant to this evidence summary.^{1,2} Both reviews assessed the effectiveness of psychological interventions for the treatment of adults with obsessive compulsive disorder (OCD). One review included any controlled study that compared a psychological intervention to a placebo or waiting list control.¹ This review included 19 studies, of which 18 reported data on an intervention that included a component of Exposure with Response Prevention (ERP).¹ The second review included only randomised controlled trials (RCTs) that compared a psychological intervention to usual care.² This review included only three studies that assessed an ERP intervention, all of which were also included I the first review.²

Main Findings

The results of both systematic reviews indicated that treatment with behavioural therapy (ERP) was associated with a reduction in obsessive-compulsive symptoms.^{1,2} The first review reported an effect size (based on standardised mean difference) of 1.127 (95% CI: 0.802 to 1.452), based on data from 13 controlled studies.¹ The second review reported a weighted mean difference (WMD), of -11.73 (95% CI: -14.52 to -8.95), indicating that ERP was associated with a greater reduction in symptoms than usual care; this estimate was based on data from three RCTs, all of which were also included in the first systematic review.² Subgroup analyses, reported in the first review, indicated that the most effective methods of ERP were those that used therapist-guided exposure and those that combined exposure in vivo with exposure in imagination.¹ Sub-group analysis showed no significant treatment effect when ERP was self-applied.¹ All three of the RCTs included in the Second systematic review used therapist-guided interventions.2 Data from both reviews indicated that ERP had no significant effect on symptoms of anxietry.^{1,2}

Authors Conclusions

One systematic review concluded that both ERP and CR are effective in reducing obsessive– compulsive symptoms in patients with OCD. This review further concluded that the most effective methods of ERP were those that used therapist-guided exposure and those that combined exposure in vivo with exposure in imagination; evidence did not support the effectiveness of self-application of ERP. The second systematic review concluded that psychological treatments derived from cognitive behavioural models are an effective treatment for adult patients with obsessive compulsive disorder and that more research is needed to assess the effectiveness of behavioural treatments and other psychological approaches.

Reliability of conclusions/Strength of evidence

Data from one methodologically weak systematic review and one high quality Cochrane systematic review consistently indicated that ERP is likely to be effective in reducing obsessive-compulsive symptoms in adults with OCD. However, there was no evidence to suggest that ERP is effective in reducing anxiety.

What do guidelines say?

Neither National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) nor Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN) guidelines discuss the use of systematic desensitisation or graded exposure for OCD.

Date question received:	08/02/2007
Date searches conducted:	24/07/2014, updated from 12/03/2007
Date answer completed:	01/09/2014

References

SRs

- 1. Rosa-Alcazar AI, Sanchez-Meca J, Gomez-Conesa A, et al. Psychological treatment of obsessive-compulsive disorder: a meta-analysis. Clin Psychol Rev 2008;28:1310–1325.
- 2. Gava I, Barbui C, Aguglia E, et al. Psychological treatments versus treatment as usual for obsessive compulsive disorder (OCD). In: The Cochrane Library, Issue 2, 2011. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. Search date 2006.

Results

Systematic reviews

Author	Search	Inclusion criteria	Number of	Summary of results	Risk of bias
(year)	Date		included		
			studies		
Rosa-	1908-2006	Participants:	19 studies,	This review aimed to assess the	No specific
Alcazar		Adults diagnosed with OCD using explicit,	reporting 24	effectiveness of behavioural and	objective was
(2008)		standardised criteria (any version of DSM or	comparisons	cognitive approaches for the treatment	stated; some
		ICD)	(n=752	of people with OCD.	appropriate
		Intervention:	participants;		inclusion criteria
		Psychological treatment (Exposure with	431	The mean age of study participants	were defined, but
		Response Prevention (ERP), Cognitive	treatment	ranged from 30 to 39 years, and	outcome measures
		Restructuring (CR), or ERP+CR combined)	and 321	between 2% and 58% were male. ERP	were not pre-
		Comparator:	control)	was the most frequently reported	specified.
		Placebo or waiting list control		psychological intervention technique	
		Outcomes:	18 studies	(21 comparisons). Most methods were	The literature
		Not specified; results were reported as	reported data	based on exposure in vivo (13	search included a
		'measures of obsession and compulsion' and	on the	comparisons), or a combination	range of
		'measures of depression'	effectiveness	exposure in vivo with exposure in	bibliographic
		Study design:	of ERP or	imagination (7 comparisons). Seventeen	databases, as well
		Any design which included a control group and	ERP+CR	comparisons applied therapist-guided	as supplementary
		had at least five participants in each group		exposure and three applied therapist-	reference
				assisted self-exposure. The duration of	screening.
				interventions that included ERP ranged	However, inclusion
				from 3 to 20 weeks.	was restricted to
					studies published in
				ERP improved symptoms of obsessions	English, French or

and compulsions, compared to control Spanish, raising	g the
groups (standardised mean difference possibility that	•
(SMD) 1.127 (95% CI: 0.802 to 1.452)), relevant studie	2S
based on 13 comparisons. The effect may have been	ı
size was similar for ERP + CR combined omitted.	
(SMD 0.998 (95% CI: 0.559 to 1.437)),	
based on 8 comparisons. No details of th	າຍ
review process	;
Therapist-guided exposure showed a were reported,	, so
larger mean effect size than therapist that it was not	
assisted self-exposure (SMD 1.217 (95% possible to asse	ess
CI: 0.910 to 1.524) based on 17 the potential for	or
comparisons, versus SMD 0.480 (95% error and/or bi	ias in
CI: -0.204 to 1.164), based on 3 the study selec	tion
comparisons). Exposure in vivo a data extractio	on
combined with exposure in the processes.	
imagination was more effective than in	
vivo exposure alone (SMD 1.303 (95% No formal	
CI: 0.850 to 1.756), based on 7 assessment of	the
comparisons, versus SMD 0.976 (95% methodologica	il
CI: 0.644 to 1.307), based on 13 quality of the	
comparisons. Group training and included studie	es
individual training were similarly was reported.	The
effective. Self-reports gave a smaller possible effects	s of
mean effect size than clinician some aspects of	of
assessments. However, these data were study design w	ere
for all studies (including any included in the	:
psychological intervention (ERP, CR, or meta-analysis.	
ERP+CR); stratified data were not	

				reported separately for ERP.	The meta-analytic
					methods used were
				Some limited data were reported for	broadly
				measures of general anxiety. For all	appropriate.
				psychological interventions, the	
				summary effect measure showed	
				borderline statistical significance (SMD	
				0.674 (95% CI: 0.333 to 1.014),	
				p=0.056), based on 10 comparisons.	
				Data were also reported for depression,	
				social adjustment, 'global results', and	
				'other outcomes'.	
Gava 2011	31/10/2006	Participants:	8 studies, of	This review aimed to assess the	The review stated a
		Adults (16 to 65 years), with a diagnosis of OCD	which 3	effectiveness of psychological	clear research
		using standard criteria (DSM or ICD), treated in	assessed ERP	interventions, compared to treatment	question and
		any setting		as usual, for the treatment of people	defined
		Intervention:		with OCD.	appropriate
		psychological treatments, grounded within an			inclusion criteria.
		explicit orientation, structured, delivered on an		The review included only three studies	
		individual or group basis		that assessed the effectiveness of	Searches included a
		Comparator:		behavioural interventions (ERP), all of	range of
		Treatment as usual		which were also included in the Rosa-	bibliographic
		Outcomes:		Alcazar review described above.	databases and
		The primary outcome was measures of			were
		obsessive compulsive symptoms. Secondary		Meta-analysis, based on these three	supplemented by
		outcomes: drop-out rates; depressive		studies, indicated that ERP significantly	sources for un-
		symptoms; anxiety symptoms; quality of life;		reduced obsessive compulsive	published material.
		absence of treatment response		symptoms compared to usual care	No restrictions on

Study design:	(weighted mean difference (WMD) -	language of
Randomised controlled trials (RCTs)	11.73 (95% Cl: -14.52 to -8.95)).	publication status
	Analysis, based on two studies, showed	were applied.
	no statistically significant effect on	
	anxiety symptoms.	The review process
		included measures
		to minimise error
		and bias
		(involvement of at
		least two reviewers
		throughout).
		The methodological
		quality of included
		studies was
		assessed and
		results were
		reported.
		The meta-analytic
		methods used were
		broadly
		appropriate.

Risk of bias:

SRs

Author (year)	Risk of bias				
	Inclusion criteria	Searches	Review process	Quality assessment	Synthesis
Rosa-Alcazar (2008)	8	8	?	8	
Gava (2011)					



<mark> </mark>High Risk ? Unclear Risk

Search details

Source	Search strategy	Number of hits	Relevant evidence identified
SRs and C	luidelines		
NICE	Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder	49	0
DARE	(grade* ADJ2 exposur*) IN DARE WHERE LPD FROM 12/03/2007 TO 24/07/2014 4 Delete	43	
	2 MeSH DESCRIPTOR Desensitization, Psychologic EXPLODE ALL TREES 28 Delete		
	3 (desensitis* OR desensitiz* OR de-sensitiz* OR de-sensitis*) IN DARE WHERE LPD FROM 12/03/2007		
	TO 24/07/2014 73 Delete		
	4 #1 OR #2 OR #3 96 Delete		
	5 (obsess* OR compulsi* OR OCD) IN DARE WHERE LPD FROM 12/03/2007 TO 24/07/2014 87 Delete	lete	
	6 (panic*) IN DARE WHERE LPD FROM 12/03/2007 TO 24/07/2014 74 Delete		
	7 (anxiet* OR anxious) IN DARE WHERE LPD FROM 12/03/2007 TO 24/07/2014 862 Delete		
	8 MeSH DESCRIPTOR Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder EXPLODE ALL TREES 46 Delete		
	9 MeSH DESCRIPTOR Panic Disorder EXPLODE ALL TREES 50 Delete		
	10 MeSH DESCRIPTOR Panic EXPLODE ALL TREES 4 Delete		
	11 MeSH DESCRIPTOR Anxiety EXPLODE ALL TREES 240 Delete		
	12 MeSH DESCRIPTOR Anxiety Disorders EXPLODE ALL TREES 422 Delete		
	13 #5 OR #6 OR #7 OR #8 OR #9 OR #10 OR #11 OR #12 1230 Delete		
	14 #4 AND #13		
Primary s	tudies	1	
CENTRAL	#1 "obsessive compulsive":ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)	8	0
	1463		
	#2 MeSH descriptor: [Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder] explode all trees		
	649		
	#3 Enter terms for search		
	#1 or #2#1 or #2 1465		
	#4 Enter terms for search		

	panicpanic 2229		
	#5 MeSH descriptor: [Panic Disorder] explode all trees		
	757		
	#6 MeSH descriptor: [Panic] explode all trees		
	258		
	#7 Enter terms for search		
	#4 or #5 or #6#4 or #5 or #6 2229		
	#8 Enter terms for search		
	exposureexposure 20419		
	#9 Enter terms for search		
	desensitization or desensitisationdesensitization or desensitisation 2103		
	#10 Enter terms for search		
	"response prevention" response prevention 135		
	#11 MeSH descriptor: [Implosive Therapy] explode all trees		
	#12 MeSH descriptor: [Desensitization, Psychologic] explode all trees		
	471 #425-store to man for a complex #0, #421224.45		
	#13Enter terms for searc{or #8-#12}22145 #14Enter terms for searc#3 and #7 and #13 13		
	1. PsycINFO; "obsessive compulsive disorder".ti,ab; 10334 results.	23	0
PsycINFO		25	0
	2. PsycINFO; OBSESSIVE COMPULSIVE DISORDER/; 10097 results.		
	3. PsycINFO; 1 OR 2; 12526 results.		
	4. PsycINFO; "exposure and response prevention".ti,ab; 601 results.		
	5. PsycINFO; graduated-exposure.ti,ab; 79 results.		
	6. PsycINFO; graded-exposure.ti,ab; 125 results.		
	7. PsycINFO; gradual-exposure.ti,ab; 77 results.		
	8. PsycINFO; response-prevention.ti,ab; 892 results.		
	9. PsycINFO; EXPOSURE THERAPY/; 1512 results.		
	10. PsycINFO; 4 OR 5 OR 6 OR 7 OR 8 OR 9; 2456 results.		
	11. PsycINFO; 3 AND 10; 619 results.		
	12. PsycINFO; PANIC/ OR PANIC ATTACK/ OR PANIC DISORDER/; 8506 results.		

	13. PsycINFO; panic.ti,ab; 13632 results.		
	46. PsycINFO; (desensitisation OR desensitization).ti,ab; 5080 results.		
	47. PsycINFO; SYSTEMATIC DESENSITIZATION THERAPY/; 1761 results.		
	48. PsycINFO; 4 OR 5 OR 6 OR 7 OR 8 OR 9 OR 46 OR 47; 7820 results.		
	49. PsycINFO; 3 AND 14 AND 48; 23 results.		
Embase	16. EMBASE; "obsessive compulsive disorder".ti,ab; 11114 results.	38	0
	17. EMBASE; OBSESSIVE COMPULSIVE DISORDER/; 15638 results.		
	18. EMBASE; 16 OR 17; 19544 results.		
	19. EMBASE; panic.ti,ab; 15011 results.		
	20. EMBASE; PANIC/; 17606 results.		
	21. EMBASE; 19 OR 20; 20841 results.		
	22. EMBASE; "exposure and response prevention".ti,ab; 435 results.		
	23. EMBASE; graduated-exposure.ti,ab; 49 results.		
	24. EMBASE; graded-exposure.ti,ab; 137 results.		
	25. EMBASE; gradual-exposure.ti,ab; 86 results.		
	26. EMBASE; response-prevention.ti,ab; 590 results.		
	27. EMBASE; "exposure therapy".ti,ab; 1009 results.		
	28. EMBASE; 22 OR 23 OR 24 OR 25 OR 26 OR 27; 1807 results.		
	50. EMBASE; (desensitisation OR desensitization).ti,ab; 22327 results.		
	51. EMBASE; DESENSITIZATION/; 15801 results.		
	52. EMBASE; SYSTEMATIC DESENSITIZATION/; 256 results.		
	53. EMBASE; 50 OR 51 OR 52; 28935 results.		
	54. EMBASE; 28 OR 53; 30683 results.		
	55. EMBASE; 18 AND 21 AND 54; 38 results.		
Cinahl	30. CINAHL; "obsessive compulsive disorder".ti,ab; 958 results.	0	0
	31. CINAHL; OBSESSIVE-COMPULSIVE DISORDER/; 1761 results.		
	32. CINAHL; 30 OR 31; 1977 results.		
	33. CINAHL; panic.ti,ab; 1461 results.		
	34. CINAHL; PANIC DISORDER/; 952 results.		

Summary	NA	NA	
	45. CINAHL; 32 AND 35 AND 44; 0 results.		
	44. CINAHL; 36 OR 37 OR 38 OR 39 OR 40 OR 41 OR 42 OR 43; 780 results.		
	43. CINAHL; DESENSITIZATION, PSYCHOLOGIC/; 212 results.		
	42. CINAHL; (desensitisation OR desensitization).ti,ab; 612 results.		
	42. CINAHL; 36 OR 37 OR 38 OR 39 OR 40 OR 41; 173 results.		
	41. CINAHL; "exposure therapy".ti,ab; 98 results.		
	40. CINAHL; response-prevention.ti,ab; 44 results.		
	39. CINAHL; gradual-exposure.ti,ab; 6 results.		
	38. CINAHL; graded-exposure.ti,ab; 26 results.		
	37. CINAHL; graduated-exposure.ti,ab; 6 results.		
	36. CINAHL; "exposure and response prevention".ti,ab; 37 results.		
	35. CINAHL; 33 OR 34; 1831 results.		

Disclaimer

BEST in MH answers to clinical questions are for information purposes only. BEST in MH does not make recommendations. Individual health care providers are responsible for assessing the applicability of BEST in MH answers to their clinical practice. BEST in MH is not responsible or liable for, directly or indirectly, any form of damage resulting from the use/misuse of information contained in or implied by these documents. Links to other sites are provided for information purposes only. BEST in MH cannot accept responsibility for the content of linked sites.

© Best Evidence Summaries of Topics in Mental Health 2013