

Best Evidence Summaries of Topics in Mental Healthcare

BEST in MH clinical question-answering service

Question

"For employees, how effective are work-based mindfulness interventions, compared to any other intervention/other control group, for improving staff wellbeing, productivity and any other outcomes?"

Clarification of question using PICO structure

Patients: Employees

Intervention: Work-based mindfulness interventionsComparator: Any other intervention/other control group

Outcome: Staff wellbeing, productivity and any other outcomes

Email: awp.BESTinMH@nhs.net Phone: 0117 378 4232/4233/4335

Plain Language Summary

Only a small number of poor quality studies have looked at whether mindfulness-based programs in the workplace can help with stress and other aspects of employee wellbeing. Overall, the results of these studies do not show that mindfulness-based programs work better than doing nothing. However, better studies are needed to properly assess whether mindfulness interventions designed specifically for the workplace have any benefits.



Clinical and research implications

Overall, the available evidence does not support the effectiveness mindfulness interventions in the workplace. Very limited evidence, from two small poor quality studies, suggests that short mindfulness-based stress reduction interventions designed for use in the workplace may reduce perceived stress and improve resilience and sleep quality, however, the findings of these studies are unlikely to be reliable.

Further research is needed to adequately assess the effectiveness of mindfulness interventions which have been designed specifically for use in the workplace. As a minimum, randomised-controlled trials should provide the comparative effectiveness of mindfulness interventions versus a 'no intervention' control. Studies comparing the effectiveness of different types of mindfulness interventions, or comparing mindfulness to other psychological interventions would also be useful.

What does the evidence say?

Number of included studies/reviews (number of participants)

We identified three randomised controlled trials (RCTs) which reported results relevant to this evidence summary. ^{1,2,3} One study was conducted in an industrial workplace, ¹ and two in academic institutions. ^{2,3} Two small studies assessed short duration (6 to 7 week) mindfulness-based stress reduction interventions designed to be used in workplace settings, ^{1,2} and one larger study assessed a 6 month mindfulness-related, multicomponent health promotion intervention. ³ All three studies included a 'no intervention' control group, but only one reported between group effect estimates for the intervention versus control groups; ³ this study also reported follow-up data at 6 and 12 months post-intervention.

Main findings

One of the two short mindfulness-based stress reduction intervention studies reported no between-group comparisons; all effect estimates were based on within-group, pre- to post-intervention comparisons. This study reported statistically significant improvements in mindfulness, perceived stress, and sleep quality in the mindfulness intervention group. The second short intervention study reported post-intervention comparisons between the mindfulness intervention and control groups and found that mindfulness was associated with significantly better mindfulness, perceived stress, resilience, and vigour scores. However, reporting of these results was limited to *p* values (no effect sizes were provided). This study also reported pre- to post-intervention improvements in the mindfulness group, which were maintained at six month follow-up. The study of a six month mindfulness intervention provided full comparative results and found no statistically significant differences between the mindfulness intervention and control groups in work engagement, mental health, need for recovery or mindfulness at 6 and 12 months follow-up.

Authors conclusions

Aikens 2014 – The authors concluded that this on-line mindfulness intervention seems to be both practical and effective in decreasing employee stress, while improving resiliency, vigour, and work engagement, thereby enhancing overall employee well-being.

Klatt 2009 – The authors concluded that their study indicates that 'low-dose' mindfulness-based stress reduction program assessed is an effective adaptation for working individuals limited by time.

Van Berkel 2014 – The authors concluded that their study did not show any effect of the workplace mindfulness-related multi-component health promotion intervention on work engagement, mental health, need for recovery and mindfulness after 6 and 12 months.

Reliability of conclusions/Strength of evidence

Three randomised controlled trials, all with significant methodological weaknesses, provided evidence on the effectiveness of mindfulness interventions in the workplace. ^{1,2,3} Two small, poor quality trials reported that short mindfulness interventions were associated with improvements in various outcomes including perceived stress and sleep quality, however, neither study reported a reliable comparison with the control group or long-term follow-up. ^{1,2} The third larger study of a six month mindfulness intervention, was the only study to report an adequate comparison between the intervention and control groups; this study found that the mindfulness intervention had no significant effect on any outcome measure. ³

What do guidelines say?

Neither National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) nor Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN) guidelines comment on the effectiveness of work-based mindfulness on improving outcomes for staff.

Date question received:20/07/2015Date searches conducted:22/07/2015Date answer completed:10/08/2015

References

Randomised Control Trials

- 1. Aikens, A. K. et. al. (2014). Mindfulness Goes to Work Impact of an Online Workplace Intervention. *Journal of Occupational and environmental Medicine*, 56 (1) 721
- 2. Klatt, M. D., Buckworth, J., Malarkey, W. B. (2009) Effects of low-dose mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR-ld) on working adults. *Health Education and Behavior*, 36(3), 601-614
- 3. Van Berkel, J., Boot, R. L., Proper, I., Bongers, M., Van der Beek, J. A., (2014) Effectiveness of a Worksite Mindfulness-Related Multi-Component Health Promotion Intervention on Work Engagement and Mental Health: Results of a Randomized Controlled Trial. *PLOS One*, 9 (1)

Results

Randomised controlled trials

Author	Inclusion criteria	Number of	Summary of results	Risk of bias
(year)		participants		
Aikens, et.	Participants:	n = 89 (44	This study aimed to assess the	Study participants
al. (2014)	Employees of the Dow Chemical Company, randomly selected	mindfulness	effects of a workplace-based	were randomised
	from those who responded to an e-mail invitation to	intervention, 45	mindfulness program on employee	using a computer
	participate in the study. Participants had to be over 18 years	wait-list control)	stress, resilience and wellbeing.	algorithm, but no
	old and have taken a health risk assessment in the previous 6			details of allocation
	months; there were no exclusion criteria.		No baseline data were reported to	concealment
			allow assessment of the extent to	procedures were
	Intervention:		which the intervention and control	reported.
	The workplace mindfulness-based stress- reduction		groups were comparable.	
	programme utilised in this study consisted of a 7-week			The nature of the
	program combining live, weekly hour-long virtual class		Thirty four of the 44 participants in	intervention
	meetings with accompanying on-line applied training.		the mindfulness group completed at	precludes blinding
			least 50% of the program and	of participants and
	Comparator:		provided baseline and post-	personnel
	Wait- list control (no active treatment); control group		intervention assessments (drop-out	delivering the
	participants were offered the intervention at the end of the		rate 10.5%).	intervention.
	post-intervention period.			
			At the post-treatment assessment,	Outcomes were
	Outcomes:		the mindfulness intervention group	self-assessed and
	Mindfulness (Five Facets of Mindfulness Questionnaire;		rated themselves significantly higher	therefore not
	FFMQ); Psychological Stress (Perceived Stress Scale; PSS-14);		than the control group on all facets	blinded.
	Resiliency (Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale; CD-RISC).; Work		of the mindfulness questionnaire	
	Engagement (Shirom Vigor Scale). Outcomes were assessed		(FFMQ), with the exception of non-	Analyses were

	immediately post-treatment and at 6 months follow-up for		judgemental awareness. The	conducted on an
	the intervention group only.		mindfulness group also rated	intention-to-treat
			themselves significantly lower on	basis.
			perceived stress (PSS-14) and higher	
			on resilience (CD-RISC). All	Only within group
			components of the Shirom Vigor	effect sizes were
			Scale were also significantly higher in	reported. Between-
			the mindfulness group. However, no	group comparisons
			effect sizes were reported for any	were reported as <i>p</i>
			between group comparison (<i>p</i> values	values only and
			only). Within group comparisons	were only available
			indicated statistically significant	for the post-
			improvements in the mindfulness	treatment
			intervention group from baseline to	assessment.
			post-intervention, in all outcome	
			measures. These improvements	
			were maintained at six months	
			follow-up.	
Klatt, et. al.	Participants:	n = 48 (24	This study aimed to assess the	No details of
(2009)	Full-time faculty and staff employed at a large mid-western	mindfulness	effects of a shortened (low-dose),	randomisation or
	university, recruited through e-mail announcements, health	intervention, 24	workplace mindfulness-based stress	allocation
	fair presentations, and advertisements in staff newsletters.	wait-list control)	reduction program on indicators of	concealment
	Participants had to be aged 18 to 60 years, have a BMI ≤30,		stress in healthy working adults.	procedures were
	exercise for <30mins. On most days of the week, and			reported.
	consume no more than 2 alcoholic beverages and 6		Participant characteristics (age,	
	beverages with caffeine per. Day. Exclusion criteria were:		gender, BMI, marital status) were	The nature of the
	pregnancy or breast feeding; regular yoga practice or weekly		similar in the intervention and	intervention
	yoga class; recent chronic illness requiring medication.		control groups. Baseline salivary	precludes blinding
			cortisol was higher in the control	of participants and

Intervention:

The '6 week' low-dose mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR-ld) intervention consisted of group instruction for 60 minutes, once per week, at noon in a centrally located campus classroom, as well as individual '20 minute' sessions of daily meditation.

Comparator:

Wait- list control (no active treatment); control group participants were offered the intervention at the end of the study period.

Outcomes:

Mindfulness (Mindful Attention Awareness Scale; MAAS)
Perceived stress (Perceived stress scale); quality of sleep
(Global measure of sleep from the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality
Index); salivary cortisol. Outcomes were assessed at baseline
and within 5 days of study completion.

group than the intervention group; no baseline comparisons of other outcome measures were reported.

The mindfulness intervention group showed statistically significant improvements (pre- to post-intervention) in mindfulness awareness (MAAS), perceived stress, and overall sleep quality and 4 of the 7 sub-scales of the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index. The control group reported statistically significant pre-to post-test improvements in overall sleep quality only. There were no changes in average daily salivary cortisol for participants in either group. No between-group comparisons were reported.

personnel delivering the intervention.

All outcome measures, except salivary cortisol, were self-reported. It was not clear whether the personnel who measured salivary cortisol levels were blinded to study group.

Two participants from the intervention group (8%) and 4 from the control group (17%) did not complete the study and were not included in the analyses.

No between-group comparisons were reported. All results

				were for within
				group pre- to pots-
				intervention
				changes.
Van Berkel	Participants:	n = 257	This study aimed to assess the	Study participants
et. al.	Employees from two Dutch research institutes were recruited	(intervention	effects of a workplace mindfulness-	were randomised
(2014)	by e-mail invitation. Exclusion criteria were pregnancy or sick	129, control 128)	related multicomponent health	by an independent
	leave >4 weeks.		promotion intervention on work	researcher, using a
			engagement, mental health, need	computer-
	Intervention:		for recovery and mindfulness.	generated
	The total duration of the intervention was six months. The			randomisation
	Mindful Vitality In Practice (VIP) intervention comprised 8		Participant characteristics (age,	sequence. After
	weeks of in-company mindfulness-related training with		gender, marital status, education)	randomisation, the
	homework exercises, followed by 8 sessions of e-coaching. E-		and baseline outcome measures	research assistant
	Coaching included participants interacting with mindfulness		were similar in the intervention and	notified each
	trainers via e-mail and receiving positive feedback and		control groups.	participant by e-
	answers to any questions The weekly mindfulness-related			mail about the
	training sessions took 90 minutes and were held in a room at		Nine participants in the intervention	group he or she
	the worksite in a group setting.		group and 18 in the control group	was allocated to.
			did not complete the study.	
	Comparator:			The nature of the
	Participants in the control group did not receive anything else		There were no significant differences	intervention
	other than a link to the web page containing health		in work engagement, mental health,	precludes blinding
	promotion material already provided by the organisation.		need for recovery and mindfulness	of participants and
	This information was compiled on to one page for the		between the intervention and	personnel
	purpose of the study.		control group at either 6 or 12	delivering the
			months follow-up. Sub-group	intervention.
	Outcomes:		analyses assessing the effects of	
	Work engagement, Utrecht Work Engagement Scale (UWES);		compliance also found no significant	Outcomes were

mental health (RAND-36); need for recovery (Questionnaire	differences between the groups.	self-assessed and
on the Experience and Evaluation of Work; mindfulness		therefore not
(Mindful Attention Awareness Scale; MAAS). Outcomes were		blinded.
measured at baseline and at 6 and 12 months.		
		Data were analysed
		on an intention-to-
		treat basis.
		Results were
		reported for all
		specified
		outcomes.

Risk of bias

Randomised controlled trials

Study	RISK OF BIAS						
	Random allocation	Allocation concealment	Blinding of participants and personnel	Blinding of outcome assessment	Incomplete outcome data	Selective Reporting	
Aikens 2014	\odot		Θ	\odot	\odot	\odot	
Klatt 2009	,	?	<u> </u>	8	8	⊗	
Van Berkel 2014	©	\odot	8	\odot	\odot	\odot	





? Unclear risk

Search details

Source	Search Strategy	Number	Relevant evidence
			identified
Guidelines			
NICE	Mindfulness	14	0
Primary Studies			
MEDLINE	1. Medline; exp MINDFULNESS/; 443 results.	257	0
	2. Medline; exp MEDITATION/; 540 results.		
	3. Medline; (Mindful* OR MBCT OR MBSR OR meditat*).ti,ab; 6293 results.		
	4. Medline; 1 OR 2 OR 3; 6696 results.		
	5. Medline; exp OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH/OR exp OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH		
	SERVICES/; 11441 results.		
	6. Medline; (Employee* OR staff OR workplace OR workbase* OR work-based OR		
	"work based" OR in-work OR "in work" OR industry OR employer OR "occupational		
	health" OR personnel OR workforce OR "work force" OR "in-job").ti,ab; 302044		
	results.		
	7. Medline; 5 OR 6; 323037 results.		
	8. Medline; 4 AND 7; 257 results.		
EMBASE	1. EMBASE; exp MINDFULNESS/; 1405 results.	438	1
	2. EMBASE; exp MEDITATION/; 4428 results.		
	3. EMBASE; (Mindful* OR MBCT OR MBSR OR meditat*).ti,ab; 8920 results.		
	4. EMBASE; 1 OR 2 OR 3; 10656 results.		
	5. EMBASE; exp OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH/OR exp OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH		
	SERVICES/; 182123 results.		
	6. EMBASE; (Employee* OR staff OR workplace OR workbase* OR work-based OR		
	"work based" OR in-work OR "in work" OR industry OR employer OR "occupational		
	health" OR personnel OR workforce OR "work force" OR "in-job").ti,ab; 383972		

	results.		
	7. EMBASE; 5 OR 6; 519484 results.		
	8. EMBASE; 4 AND 7; 438 results.		
PsycINFO	1. PsycInfo; exp MINDFULNESS/; 4800 results.	496	2
	2. PsycInfo; exp MEDITATION/; 3432 results.		
	3. PsycInfo; (Mindful* OR MBCT OR MBSR OR meditat*).ti,ab; 12194 results.		
	4. PsycInfo; 1 OR 2 OR 3; 12589 results.		
	5. PsycInfo; exp OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH/OR exp OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH		
	SERVICES/; 1504 results.		
	6. PsycInfo; (Employee* OR staff OR workplace OR workbase* OR work-based O	OR	
	"work based" OR in-work OR "in work" OR industry OR employer OR "occupation	onal	
	health" OR personnel OR workforce OR "work force" OR "in-job").ti,ab; 181877	'	
	results.		
	7. PsycInfo; 5 OR 6; 182178 results.		
	8. PsycInfo; 4 AND 7; 496 results.		
CENTRAL	#1 MeSH descriptor: [Mindfulness] explode all trees 48	62	
	#2 MeSH descriptor: [Meditation] explode all trees 338		
	#3 Mindful* or MBCT or MBSR or meditat* 1874		
	#4 Enter terms for search#1 or #2 or #3 1874		
	#5 MeSH descriptor: [Occupational Health] explode all trees 431		
	#6 Employee* or staff or workplace or workbase* or work-based or "work base	ed"	
	or in-work or "in work" or industry or employer or "occupational health" or		
	personnel or workforce or "work force" or "in-job"		
	24212		
	#7 #5 or #6 24212		
	#8 #4 and #7 298		

Disclaimer

BEST in MH answers to clinical questions are for information purposes only. BEST in MH does not make recommendations. Individual health care providers are responsible for assessing the applicability of BEST in MH answers to their clinical practice. BEST in MH is not responsible or liable for, directly or indirectly, any form of damage resulting from the use/misuse of information contained in or implied by these documents. Links to other sites are provided for information purposes only. BEST in MH cannot accept responsibility for the content of linked sites.