

Best Evidence Summaries of Topics in Mental Healthcare

BEST in MH clinical question-answering service

Question

In adults with a diagnosis of obsessive compulsive disorder (OCD), how effective are group therapies and interventions, compared to individual therapies and interventions, in improving patient outcomes?

Clarification of question using PICO structure

Patients: Adults with obsessive compulsive disorder (OCD)

Intervention: Group therapies and interventions *Comparator:* Individual therapies and interventions

Outcome: Any patient outcomes

Plain language summary

Research evidence suggests that both group and individual behavioural therapies are effective in improving symptoms of obsessive compulsive disorder. However the studies included were of low quality. Further trials are required in order to adequately compare the effectiveness of group and individual therapies.

Email: awp.BESTinMH@nhs.net Phone: 0117 378 4232/4233/4335



Clinical and research implications

Evidence from four small randomised controlled trials (RCTs) consistently indicated that both group and individual Cognitive Behavioural Therapy were associated with improvements in obsessive-compulsive symptoms, depressive symptoms, and anxiety symptom (assessed in two trials) in adults with Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder. There was no evidence to support a significant difference in the effectiveness of group and individual therapies, however, it should be noted that the included RCTs were small and were unlikely to have been adequately powered to detect any difference.

Further, larger trials are required to adequately explore the comparative effectiveness of group and individual psychotherapies in this population.

What does the evidence say?

Number of included studies/reviews (number of participants)

We identified four randomised controlled trials (RCTs), which were relevant to this evidence summary. ^{2,3,5,6} All four trials compared the effectiveness of group and individual cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) in adults with obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD). Three of the trials also included a control condition, waiting list control, ^{3,5} or progressive muscle relaxation. ⁵ All four trials used the Yale-Brown Obsessive-Compulsive Scale (Y-BOCS) to assess OCD symptoms. All four studies also assessed depressive symptoms using either the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), ^{2,3,5} or the Hamilton Depression Scale (HADS). One potentially relevant systematic review of CBT in OCD was identified, ¹ but was excluded because it only included one study comparing group and individual therapies; this study is included separately in this evidence summary. We also identified an article reporting two year follow-up of two studies of group and individual CBT for OCD, however, one trial compared group CBT to a waiting list control and the second trial compared individual CBT to individual exposure response prevention therapy; neither the main trial publications, nor the two year follow-up article compared individual to group therapy and the studies were therefore excluded.

Main findings

All four of the RCTs included in this evidence summary found that both group and individual CBT were associated with improvements in symptoms of OCD, depressive symptoms and anxiety symptoms (where assessed); improvements were measured either pre- to post-treatment or post-treatment relative to the control condition.^{2,3,5,6} No study found a statistically significant difference in the effectiveness of group and individual therapies, for any outcome measure assessed.^{2,3,5,6} One of the included studies also reported the results of a meta-analysis comparing post-treatment Y-BOCS scores in individual and group CBT.² The meta-analysis comprised the four studies included in this summary, and results also indicated no statistically significant difference between individual and group therapy (summary effect size estimate of 0.15 (95% CI: -0.12 to 0.42)).²

Authors conclusions

Jónsson (2011) – The authors concluded that OCD can be treated effectively with group format CBT and that this approach may represent a potential resource saving over individual CBT.

Jaurrieta (2008) – The authors concluded that individual treatment is more effective in reducing obsessive-compulsive symptoms than group treatment. However, it should be noted that these

conclusion appear to have been based on analyses which included only those patients who completed the study; intention-to-treat analyses found no significant differences between individual and group therapy for any outcome measure.

Anderson (2007) – The authors stated that intention-to-treat and completer analyses were carried out and indicated no differences between the group and individual treatments on outcome measures. Large effect sizes were found for both conditions. Analysis of clinically significant change indicated that the individual treatment was associated with a more rapid response but that both treatments had equivalent rates of recovered participants by brief follow-up. They concluded that these findings highlight the need for further investigation of the efficacy of group CBT.

Fals-Stewart (1993) – The authors concluded that the results of their study suggest that a behavioural group therapy approach could be used to provide effective and resource-efficient treatment to OCD outpatients.

Reliability of conclusions/Strength of evidence

The results of four small RCTs, all of which were poorly reported and had methodological limitations, consistently indicated that both group and individual CBT were associated with improvements in obsessive-compulsive symptoms, depressive symptoms, and anxiety in adults with OCD. There was no evidence to support a significant difference in the effectiveness of group and individual therapies, however, it should be noted that the included RCTs were small and were unlikely to have been adequately powered to detect any difference.

What do guidelines say?

NICE Guidelines for OCD (CG31) offer the following recommendations for adults with OCD:

"In the initial treatment of adults with OCD low intensity psychological treatments (including ERP) (up to 10 therapist hours per patient) should be offered if the patient's degree of functional impairment is mild and/or the patient expresses a preference offer a low intensity approach. Low intensity treatments include:

- Brief individual CBT (including ERP) using structured self-help materials
- Brief individual CBT (including ERP) by telephone
- Group CBT (including ERP) (not, the patient may be receiving more than 10 hours of therapy in this format)." (pp.14)

Date question received: 08/02/2016 Date searches conducted: 16/02/2016 Date answer completed: 29/02/2016

References

Systematic reviews

Jónsson, H and Hougaard, E (2009). Group cognitive behavioural therapy for obsessive—compulsive disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 119(2), 98-106. – EXCLUDED – The review includes only one study (Anderson and Rees 2007) which is relevant to this evidence summary. This study is summarised separately in the randomised controlled trials section.

Randomised controlled trials

- 2. Jónsson, H, Hougaard, E, and Bennedsen, BE (2011). Randomized comparative study of group versus individual cognitive behavioural therapy for obsessive compulsive disorder. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 123(5), 387-397.
- 3. Jaurrieta, N, Jimenez-Murcia, S, Menchón, JM, Alonso, MDP, Segalas, C, ÁLvarez-Moya, EM, Labad J, Granero R and Vallejo, J (2008). Individual versus group cognitive—behavioral treatment for obsessive—compulsive disorder: a controlled pilot study. *Psychotherapy Research*, *18*(5), 604-614.
- 4. Whittal MA and Thordarson DS. (2008). Group and Individual Treatment of Obsesssive-Compulsive Disorder Using Cognitive Therapy and Exposure Plus Response Prevention: A 2-Year Follow-up of Two Randomized Trials. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 76(6), 1003-1014. EXCLUDED This article reports two year follow-up from two separate randomised controlled trials, (one trial compared immediate group treatment with cognitive therapy/exposure response prevention therapy to a 3-month wait-list control and the second trial compared individual cognitive therapy to individual exposure response prevention therapy). Neither the main trial publications, nor the two year follow-up article compared individual to group therapy.
- 5. Anderson RA, Rees CS. (2007). Group versus individual cognitive-behavioural treatment for obsessive-compulsive disorder: A controlled trial. *Behaviour Research and Therapy, 45*, 123-137.
- 6. Fals-Stewart W, Marks AP and Schafer J. (1993). A Comparison of Behavioral Group Therapy and Individual Group Therapy in Treating Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental disease*, 181(3), 189-193.

Guidelines

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (2005) Obsessive-compulsive disorder and body dysmorphic disorder: treatment. CG31. NICE: London.

Results

Systematic reviews

Author	Search date	Inclusion criteria	Number	Summary of results	Risk of bias
(year)			of		
			included		
			studies		
Jonsson	EXCLUDED -	This review includes only one study (Anderson and	Rees 2007)	which is relevant to this evidence summary. Th	is study is
and	summarised s	separately in the randomised controlled trials secti	on, below.		
Hougaard					
(2009)					

Randomised controlled trials

Author	Inclusion criteria	Number of	Summary of results	Risk of bias
(year)		participants		
Jonsson,	Participants: Adults (aged 20-70 years)	n=110	This trial aimed to compare the effectiveness of group and	Block
Hougaard	with a primary diagnosis of OCD according	(group CBT	individual CBT for adults with OCD.	randomisation
and	to DSM-IV in Denmark, with a Yale-Brown	n=55,		was
Bennedsen	Obsessive Compulsive Scale (Y-BOCS)	individual	The mean age of study participants was 32.7 years and	undertaken by
(2011)	score of ≥16.	CBT n=55)	approximately 65% were female. The mean age of OCD onset	an
	Exclusion criteria: organic brain disease;		was approximately 14 years, with a mean duration of	independent
	current psychotic episode; bipolar		approximately 13 years. The two treatment groups were	researcher,
	affective disorder; severe major		similar at baseline with respect to demographic and	who was not
	depressive episode; severe substance use		socioeconomic characteristics, axis I and II co-morbidities,	otherwise
	disorder; cluster A personality disorder		and medication use.	involved in the
	Intervention: Fifteen weekly sessions of			study. No

group CBT with three booster sessions at 1, 2, and 6 months post-treatment.
Sessions were two hours in length with two therapists and six participants.

Comparator: Fifteen weekly sessions of individual CBT with three booster sessions at 1, 2, and 6 months post-treatment. Sessions were one hour in length and delivered by clinical psychologists, nurses and a psychiatrist, all trained in CBT with at least one year experience.

Outcome: Severity of OCD symptoms (Y-BOCS, and Obsessive Compulsive Inventory-Revised (OCI-R)), depressive symptoms (Beck Depression Inventory-second version (BDI-II)), anxiety symptoms (Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI)), and patient satisfaction assessed by questionnaire.

For both treatment groups, the mean scores on all outcome measures showed statistically significant decreases from preto post-treatment. For group CBT, the pre- to post-treatment Cohen's effect sizes were 1.06±1.12 for Y-BOCS, 0.55±0.82 for OCI-R, 0.50±0.79 for BDI-II, and 0.39±0.57 for BAI. For individual CBT, the pre- to post-treatment Cohen's effect sizes were 1.24±1.69 for Y-BOCS, 0.90±1.19 for OCI-R, 0.58±0.58 for BDI-II, and 0.41±0.44 for BAI. There were no significant changes from post-treatment to follow-up assessments on any measure, in either group.

There were no significant differences between the two treatment groups, on any outcome measure, at any of the time points assessed. Participants were also similarly satisfied, between the two treatment groups. Four patients in the group CBT treatment group and nine in the individual CBT treatment group dropped out during treatment.

This article also reported the results of a meta-analysis of the studies included in this evidence summary. The meta-analysis was based on completer data for post-treatment Y-BOCS scores and resulted in a summary effect size estimate of 0.15 (95% CI: -0.12 to 0.42), i.e. no statistically significant difference between individual and group CBT, with no evidence of between study statistical heterogeneity ($I^2 = 0\%$).

further details of the randomisation methods were reported.

The nature of the intervention precludes blinding of patients therapists and outcome assessors were not blinded to the treatment condition.

All analyses used a modified ITT approach (all 93 participants who received pre-treatment assessment were included

				in the
				analyses. Loss
				to follow-up
				was 15% at
				post-
				treatment,
				29% at 6
				months and
				39% at 12
				months.
				Results were
				reported form
				all specified
				outcome
				measures.
Jaurrieta	Participants : Adults with OCD according to	n=57 (group	This trial aimed to compare the effectiveness of group and	Randomisation
et al.	DSM-IV with a score ≥16 but ≤36 and no	treatment	individual CBT for patients with OCD; the study also included	was
(2008)	change in medication during treatment at	n=19,	a waiting list control.	performed by
	the psychology unit.	individual		a researcher
	Exclusion criteria: personality disorder;	treatment	The mean age of study participants was 23.5 years and the	not involved in
	suicidal ideation; substance abuse;	n=19,	mean age at onset was 18.8 years. The three treatment	the clinical
	psychotic disorder; bipolar disorder; other	waiting list	groups were similar at baseline, with respect to age, age at	trial. No
	severe mental disorder.	control	onset, number of hospital admissions, number and type of	details of the
	Intervention: Twenty weekly sessions, of	n=19)	obsessions, drug treatments, and pre-treatment symptom	randomisation
	either individual or group therapy, based		scores.	method were
	on a manual published by McGinn and			reported.
	Sanderson (1999), including		Based on the ITT analyses, participants in the individual CBT	

psychoeducation, ERP and relapse prevention.

Comparator: Waiting list control **Outcome**: OCD symptoms (Y-BOCS), depressive symptoms (Hamilton depression scales, HAM-D, HAM-A).

treatment group had statistically significantly lower post-treatment scores than those in the waiting list control group on HAM-D (9.2 \pm 5.9 vs. 11.6 \pm 4.9, p=0.018), HAM-A (7.3 \pm 4.5 vs. 13.5 \pm 4.8, p=0.001), Y-BOCS obsessions (8.9 \pm 4.5 vs. 12.6 \pm 4.4, p=0.003), Y-BOCS compulsions (8.6 \pm 4.2 vs. 12.0 \pm 4.7, p=0.002), and Y-BOCS total (17.8 \pm 8.4 vs. 24.6 \pm 8.9, p=0.001). Participants in the group CBT treatment group had statistically significantly lower post-treatment scores than those in the waiting list control group on HAM-A (9.2 \pm 5.9 vs. 13.5 \pm 4.8, p=0.004), Y-BOCS obsessions (10.1 \pm 4.7 vs. 12.6 \pm 4.4, p=0.051), and Y-BOCS total (20.2 \pm 9.5 vs. 24.6 \pm 8.9, p=0.057). There were no statistically significant differences between the individual and group treatments, for any outcome measure.

Completer analysis (including only the 47 patients who completed the study), showed statistically significantly lower post-treatment scores for patients in the individual and group treatment groups than for the waiting list controls, for all outcome measures. This analysis also found that patients in the individual treatment group had significantly lower post-treatment scores than those receiving group treatment for Y-BOCS obsessions (7.7±4.6 vs. 9.8±5.0, p=0.028), Y-BOCS compulsions (7.6±4.7 vs. 9.9±5.5, p=0.013), and Y-BOCS total (15.8±9.1 vs. 19.8±10.3, p=0.019).

The drop-out rates were 31.6% (95% CI: 12.6 to 56.6%) for the individual treatment group and 15.8% (95% CI: 3.4 to

The study was described as 'single blind' and it appears that this refers to outcome assessors.

Both ITT and completer analyses were reported; 47 (82%) of participants completed the study.

Results were reported for all specified outcome measures.

			39.6%) for the group treatment group.	
Whittal et	EXCLUDED – This article reports two year fo	llow-up from tv	vo separate randomised controlled trials, (one trial compared im	mediate group
al. (2008)	treatment with cognitive therapy/exposure	response preve	ention therapy to a 3-month wait-list control and the second tria	l compared
	individual cognitive therapy to individual exp	oosure respons	e prevention therapy). Neither the main trial publications, nor th	ne two year
	follow-up article compared individual to gro	up therapy.		
Anderson	Participants: Adults (age 18 to 75 years)	n=63 (group	This trial aimed to compare the effectiveness of group and	No details of
and Rees	with a primary diagnosis of OCD according	treatment	individual CBT for adults with OCD.	the
(2007)	to DSM-IV.	n=25,		randomisation
	Exclusion criteria: concurrent	individual	Participant details were only provided for those who	procedure or
	psychological treatment for OCD;	treatment	completed the study. The mean age of these patients was	allocation
	schizophrenia; intellectual disability;	n=21,	33.7 years and their mean age at onset was 19.9 years.	concealment
	organic mental disorder; unstable	waiting list	Approximately 70% were female and the group therapy	were
	medication dose over the previous three	control	group appeared to contain a higher proportion of females	reported.
	months.	n=17)	than the other two groups. The three treatment groups were	
	Intervention: Ten weekly sessions, of		similar, with respect to age, age at onset, duration of disease,	The nature of
	either one hour individual therapy or two		co-morbid axis I and II conditions, drug treatments and	the
	hour group sessions facilitated by two		baseline symptom scores.	intervention
	therapists. Both group therapy and			precludes
	individual therapy participants received		Participants in the individual and group CBT treatment	blinding of
	one month post-treatment follow-up.		groups had statistically significantly lower post-treatment Y-	patients
	Comparator: Waiting list control		BOCS and BDI score and improved GAF scores than those in	therapists and
	Outcome: OCD symptoms (Y-BOCS),		the waiting list control group. There were not significant	it was not
	depressive symptoms (BDI), Global		improvements in the quality of life measure Q-LES-Q. There	clear whether
	Assessment of Functioning (GAF), Quality		were no significant differences between individual and group	outcome
	of Life Enjoyment and Satisfaction		CBT on any outcome measure. Results were similar for the	assessors were
	Questionnaire (Q-LES-Q).		ITT and completer analyses.	blinded to
				treatment
				group.

				Both ITT and completer analyses were reported; 51 (81%) of participants completed the study.
				No results
				table was
				provided for
				the ITT
				population
				and numerical
				results for the
				waiting list
				control group
				were missing
				for this
				population.
Fals-	Participants: Participants with a primary	n=93 (group	This trial aimed to compare the effectiveness of group and	No details of
Stewart et	diagnosis of OCD according to DSM-III,	therapy	individual CBT for people with OCD.	the
al. (1993)	who were seeking outpatient treatment.	n=30,		randomisation
	Participants had at least one year's	individual	The mean age of study participants was 30.5±7.9 years and	procedure or
	symptom duration and engaged in overt	therapy	their mean symptom duration was 12.7±7.7 years. Fifty-five	allocation
	compulsions for at least one hour per. Day.	n=31,	percent of study participants were female. There were no	concealment

For all participants, this was the first episode of treatment.

Exclusion criteria: concurrent axis II diagnosis; concurrent diagnosis of major depression.

Intervention: Twelve weekly sessions, of one hour individual therapy, or 24 two hour group sessions, with ten participants, held over 12 weeks. All therapists had a minimum of one year experience of CBT for OCD.

Comparator: Control condition – progressive muscle relaxation.

Outcome: OCD symptoms (Y-BOCS), depressive symptoms (BDI), Anxiety symptoms (Self-rating Anxiety Scale (SAS)). Participants were assessed pre-treatment, post-treatment and at six months follow-up.

control condition n=32) significant differences between the three study groups in age, gender, education, symptom duration, dominant symptom type, or symptom scores at baseline.

The group CBT treatment group showed significant pre- to post-treatment improvements in Y-BOCS (22.1 to 12.0), BDI (12.6 to 7.9) and SAS (38.3 to 21.3). Similar improvements were seen in the individual CBT group (Y-BOCS 20.2 to 12.1, BDI 12.0 to 6.9, and SAS 40.2 to 23.3). For both the group and individual therapy groups, these improvements were maintained at six months follow-up. For patients in the control condition (progressive muscle relaxation) only SAS showed a pre- to post-treatment improvement (39.3 to 27.3).

were reported.

The nature of the intervention precludes blinding of patients therapists and it was not clear whether outcome assessors were blinded to treatment group.

It was not clear whether all randomised patients were included in the analyses.

Results were reported for all specified

		outcome
		measures, but
		only mean
		scores were
		reported, with
		no measure of
		variance.

Risk of bias

Systematic reviews

Author (year)	RISK OF BIAS						
	Inclusion criteria	Searches	Review process	Quality	Synthesis		
				assessment			
Jonsson and Hougaard (2009)	EXCLUDED – This relevant to this ever randomised contributions.	vidence summary	. This study is sum		•		

Randomised controlled trials

Study			RISK C	OF BIAS			
	Random allocation	Allocation concealment	Blinding of participants and personnel	Blinding of outcome assessment	Incomplete outcome data	Selective Reporting	
Jonsson,							
Hougaard and	?	\odot	\odot	oximes	\odot	\odot	
Bennedsen	•		O	O			
(2011)							
Jaurrieta et al.	?	©	33	\odot	©	0	
(2008)		9	3	9	9	9	
Whittal et al.	EXCLUDED – This	s article reports tv	vo year follow-up	from two separate	randomised con	trolled trials,	
(2008)	(one trial compa	red immediate gro	oup treatment wit	th cognitive therap	y/exposure respo	nse prevention	
	therapy to a 3-m	onth wait-list con	trol and the secor	nd trial compared i	ndividual cognitiv	e therapy to	
	individual expos	ure response prev	ention therapy). N	Neither the main tr	ial publications, r	or the two year	
	follow-up article compared individual to group therapy.						
Anderson and	2	2	<u> </u>	2	©	8	
Rees (2007)	·	•	(i)	<u> </u>	

Fals-Stewart et al. (1993)	?	?		?	?	()
----------------------------	---	---	--	---	---	----

OLow risk

<mark>
 High risk
</code></mark>

? Unclear risk

Search details

Source	Search Strategy	Number of hits	Relevant evidence identified
Guidelines			
NICE	OCD	7	
Systematic Revi	ews		
MEDLINE	1 Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder/ (11976)	26	
	2 (obsess\$ or compuls\$).ab,ti. (27243)		
	3 OCD.ab,ti. (6682)		
	4 1 or 2 or 3 (30966)		
	5 Psychotherapy, Group/ (12565)		
	6 (group adj2 therap\$).ab,ti. (15803)		
	7 (group adj2 intervention\$).ab,ti. (22031)		
	8 5 or 6 or 7 (46175)		
	9 4 and 8 (235)		
	10 (systematic\$ review\$ or meta-analytic\$ or metanalysis or metaanalysis or meta analysis or		
	meta?synthesis or meta synthesis or meta?regression or meta regression).ab,ti. (129321)		
	11 ((synthes\$ adj3 (literature or evidence)) or integrative review or data synthesis or research synthesis or		
	narrative synthesis or systematic study or systematic studies or systematic comparison\$ or systematic		
	overview\$ or evidence based review or comprehensive review or critical review or quantitative review or		

structured review or realist review or realist synthesis).ab,ti. (49788)

- 12 exp Meta-Analysis/ (61543)
- meta-analysis.ab,ti,pt. (91081)
- 14 10 or 11 or 12 or 13 (184045)
- 15 (medline or pubmed or Cochrane or embase or cinahl or psyc?lit or psyc?info).ab. (113345)
- 16 ((literature adj3 search\$) or (database\$ adj3 search\$) or (bibliographic adj3 search\$) or (electronic adj3 search\$) or (electronic adj3 database\$) or (computeri?ed adj3 search\$) or (internet adj3 search\$) or included studies or (inclusion adj3 studies) or inclusion criteria or selection criteria or predefined criteria or predefermined criteria).ab. (133783)
- 17 ((assess\$ adj3 (quality or validity)) or (select\$ adj3 (study or studies)) or (data adj3 extract\$) or extracted data or (data adj2 abstracted) or (data adj3 abstraction) or published intervention\$ or ((study or studies) adj2 evaluat\$) or (intervention\$ adj2 evaluat\$) or confidence interval\$ or heterogeneity or pooled or pooling or odds ratio\$ or Jadad or coding).ab. (814362)
- 18 15 or 16 or 17 (936414)
- 19 review.pt. (2064794)
- 20 18 and 19 (144577)
- 21 18 and 19 (144577)
- 22 (review\$ adj4 (papers or trials or studies or evidence or intervention\$ or evaluation\$)).ab,ti. (120826)
- 23 14 or 18 or 20 or 21 (1014826)
- 24 (letter or editorial or comment).pt. (1455023)
- 25 23 not 24 (1007020)

	26 Animals/ (5766662)		
	27 Humans/ (15660680)		
	28 26 not 27 (4154861)		
	29 25 not 28 (917746)		
	30 9 and 29 (26)		
EMBASE	1 OCD.ti,ab. (9724)	16	
	2 ((obsess\$ or compuls\$) adj2 disorder\$).ti,ab. (14874)		
	3 *obsessive compulsive disorder/th [Therapy] (2036)		
	4 1 or 2 or 3 (17173)		
	5 (group adj2 therap\$).ti,ab. (23553)		
	6 (group adj2 intervention\$).ti,ab. (30729)		
	7 group therapy/ (19004)		
	8 5 or 6 or 7 (66654)		
	9 4 and 8 (192)		
	10 (systematic\$ review\$ or systematic\$ literature review\$ or meta-analytic\$ or meta?analysis or metanalysis		
	or meta analysis or meta?synthesis or meta synthesis or meta?regression or meta regression).ab,ti. (169674)		
	11 ((synthes\$ adj3 literature) or (synthes\$ adj3 evidence) or (synthes\$ adj2 qualitative) or integrative review		
	or data synthesis or research synthesis or narrative synthesis or systematic study or systematic studies or		
	systematic comparison\$ or systematic overview\$).ab,ti. (32037)		
	12 ((systematic adj2 search\$) or systematic\$ literature research\$ or (review adj3 scientific literature) or		
	(literature review adj2 side effect\$) or (literature review adj2 adverse effect\$) or (literature review adj2 adverse		
		•	•

event\$) or (evidence-based adj2 review) or (evidence-based adj2 review)).ab,ti. (19053)

- 13 (comprehensive review or critical review or critical analysis or quantitative review or structured review or realist review or realist synthesis or (pooled adj2 analysis) or (pooled data adj6 (studies or trials)) or (medline and (inclusion adj3 criteria)) or (search adj (strateg\$ or term\$))).ab,ti. (77271)
- 14 exp "systematic review"/ (101545)
- 15 meta analysis/ (104164)
- (Medline or pubmed or Cochrane or embase or cinahl or psyc?lit or psyc?info or lilacs or (literature adj3 search\$) or (database\$ adj3 search\$) or (bibliographic adj3 search\$) or (electronic adj3 search\$) or (computeri?ed adj3 search\$) or (internet adj3 search\$)).ab. (193458)
- 17 ((inclusion adj3 studies) or inclusion criteria or selection criteria or predefined criteria or predetermined criteria or (assess\$ adj3 (quality or validity)) or (select\$ adj3 (study or studies)) or (data adj3 extract\$) or extracted data or (data adj2 abstracted)).ab. (234850)
- 18 ((data adj3 abstraction) or published intervention\$ or ((study or studies) adj2 evaluat\$) or (intervention\$ adj2 evaluat\$) or confidence interval\$ or heterogeneity or pooled or pooling or odds ratio\$ or (Jadad or coding) or evidence-based).ab. (968943)
- 19 10 or 11 or 12 or 13 or 14 or 15 (300086)
- 20 16 or 17 or 18 (1254289)
- 21 review.pt. (2128381)
- 22 20 and 21 (152661)
- 23 review.ti. (359123)
- 24 20 and 23 (79964)

		1	1
	25 (review\$ adj10 (papers or trials or trial data or studies or evidence or intervention\$ or evaluation\$ or		
	outcome\$ or findings)).ab,ti. (353753)		
	26 (retriev\$ adj10 (papers or trials or studies or evidence or intervention\$ or evaluation\$ or outcome\$ or		
	findings)).ab,ti. (17614)		
	27 19 or 22 or 24 or 25 or 26 (638800)		
	28 (letter or editorial).pt. (1423975)		
	29 27 not 28 (630531)		
	30 exp animal/ (21123553)		
	31 nonhuman/ (4685261)		
	32 30 or 31 (22463965)		
	33 human/ (16585198)		
	34 32 not 33 (5878767)		
	35 29 not 34 (602835)		
	36 ("cochrane database of systematic reviews\$" or "the cochrane database of systematic reviews").jn.		
	(12505)		
	37 35 not 36 (591452)		
	38 conference abstract.pt. (2145768)		
	39 37 not 38 (515155)		
	40 9 and 39 (16)		
PsycINFO/CINAHL	1 *Obsessive Compulsive Disorder/ (9977)	9	
	2 OCD.ab,ti. (8054)		
		L	l .

- 3 ((obsess\$ or compuls\$) adj3 disorder\$).ab,ti. (13475)
- 4 1 or 2 or 3 (15343)
- 5 exp Group Psychotherapy/ (20705)
- 6 exp Group Intervention/ (1396)
- 7 (group adj2 therap\$).ab,ti. (14485)
- 8 (group adj2 intervention\$).ab,ti. (11227)
- 9 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 (36972)
- 10 4 and 9 (179)
- 11 (Cochrane\$ or review or overview or (review adj2 literature) or (synthes\$ adj3 (literature\$ or research or studies or data))).ti. (134815)
- 12 (meta analysis or literature review or systematic review).md. (128660)
- 13 (pooled analys\$ or ((data adj2 pool\$) and studies) or ((hand or manual\$ or database\$ or computer\$ or electronic\$) adj2 search\$) or ((electronic\$ or bibliographic\$) adj2 (database\$ or data base\$))).ab,ti. (9552)
- 14 exp Meta Analysis/ (3781)
- 15 11 or 12 or 13 or 14 (233322)
- 16 (comment reply or editorial or letter or review book or review media).dt. (279749)
- 17 (electronic collection or dissertation abstract or encyclopedia).pt. (450395)
- 18 (rat or rats or mouse or mice or hamster or hamsters or animal or animals or dog or dogs or cat or cats or bovine or sheep).ab,sh,ti. (284297)
- 19 16 or 17 or 18 (949015)
- 20 15 not 19 (140797)

	21 10 and 20 (9)						
Primary Studies	Primary Studies						
MEDLINE	1 Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder/ (11976)	68					
	2 (obsess\$ or compuls\$).ab,ti. (27243)						
	3 OCD.ab,ti. (6682)						
	4 1 or 2 or 3 (30966)						
	5 Psychotherapy, Group/ (12565)						
	6 (group adj2 therap\$).ab,ti. (15803)						
	7 (group adj2 intervention\$).ab,ti. (22031)						
	8 5 or 6 or 7 (46175)						
	9 4 and 8 (235)						
	10 "randomized controlled trial".pt. (406964)						
	11 (random\$ or placebo\$ or single blind\$ or double blind\$ or triple blind\$).ti,ab. (889522)						
	12 (retraction of publication or retracted publication).pt. (8520)						
	13 10 or 11 or 12 (983010)						
	14 (animals not humans).sh. (4154861)						
	15 ((comment or editorial or meta-analysis or practice-guideline or review or letter or journal						
	correspondence) not "randomized controlled trial").pt. (3531362)						
	16 (random sampl\$ or random digit\$ or random effect\$ or random survey or random regression).ti,ab. not						
	"randomized controlled trial".pt. (56432)						
	17 13 not (14 or 15 or 16) (729430)						

	18 9 and 17 (68)		
EMBASE	1 OCD.ti,ab. (9724)	37	
	2 ((obsess\$ or compuls\$) adj2 disorder\$).ti,ab. (14874)		
	3 *obsessive compulsive disorder/th [Therapy] (2036)		
	4 1 or 2 or 3 (17173)		
	5 (group adj2 therap\$).ti,ab. (23553)		
	6 (group adj2 intervention\$).ti,ab. (30729)		
	7 group therapy/ (19004)		
	8 5 or 6 or 7 (66654)		
	9 4 and 8 (192)		
	10 (random\$ or placebo\$ or single blind\$ or double blind\$ or triple blind\$).ti,ab. (1166780)		
	11 RETRACTED ARTICLE/ (7912)		
	12 10 or 11 (1174495)		
	13 (animal\$ not human\$).sh,hw. (3981623)		
	14 (book or conference paper or editorial or letter or review).pt. not exp randomized controlled trial/		
	(4308067)		
	15 (random sampl\$ or random digit\$ or random effect\$ or random survey or random regression).ti,ab. not		
	exp randomized controlled trial/ (69329)		
	16 12 not (13 or 14 or 15) (905365)		
	17 9 and 16 (37)		
PsycINFO/CINAH	1 *Obsessive Compulsive Disorder/ (9977)	33	

2	OCD.ab,ti. (8054)	
3	((obsess\$ or compuls\$) adj3 disorder\$).ab,ti. (13475)	
4	1 or 2 or 3 (15343)	
5	exp Group Psychotherapy/ (20705)	
6	exp Group Intervention/ (1396)	
7	(group adj2 therap\$).ab,ti. (14485)	
8	(group adj2 intervention\$).ab,ti. (11227)	
9	5 or 6 or 7 or 8 (36972)	
10	4 and 9 (179)	
11	(random\$ or placebo\$ or single blind\$ or double blind\$ or triple blind\$).ti,ab. (173018)	
12	(animals not humans).sh. (6268)	
13	exp Clinical Trials/ (9354)	
14	random*.mp. (151622)	
15	13 not 14 (4019)	
16	11 not (12 or 15) (172407)	
17	10 and 16 (33)	

Disclaimer

BEST in MH answers to clinical questions are for information purposes only. BEST in MH does not make recommendations. Individual health care providers are responsible for assessing the applicability of BEST in MH answers to their clinical practice. BEST in MH is not responsible or liable for, directly or indirectly, any form of damage resulting from the use/misuse of information contained in or implied by these documents. Links to other sites are provided for information purposes only. BEST in MH cannot accept responsibility for the content of linked sites.

© Best Evidence Summaries of Topics in Mental Health 2015